PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	
BI076702	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of Internation Preliminary Examination Report (Form PC)
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/CA 02/00022	11/01/2002 12/01/2001
International Patent Classification (IP	17, 0.2, 2001
	A23K1/165
Applicant	
BIOZYME SYSTEMS INC.	et al
This international preliminary Authority and is transmitted t	examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a	total of sheets, including this cover sheet.
	mpanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which he basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authorition 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).
These annexes consists of a to	ital of sheets.
3. This report contains indication	ns relating to the following items:
I X Basis of the report	
II Priority	
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of inv	vention
V X Reasoned statement	t under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; actions supporting such statement
VI Certain documents of	cited
	ne international application
	s on the international application
	••
	· ·
Date of submission of the demand	
or sections of the defining	Date of completion of this report
12/08/2002	03/09/2002
Jame and mailing 11 at 1 ==	OCRASORES
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	Authorized officer
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich	KASPERS H M C
Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 52:	3656 epmu d ard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS: 8729306 * CSID: 604 922 2957 * DURATION (mm-ss)

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT

To:

UREN, John Russell Suite 202, 1590 Bellevue Avenue West Vancouver, B.C. V7V 1A7 CANADA

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

06/09/2002

Applicant's or agent's file reference

BI076702

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/ CA 02/ 00022

International filing date (day/month/year)

11/01/2002

Priority date (day/month/year)

12/01/2001

Applicant

BIOZYME SYSTEMS INC. et al

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

Authorized officer

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0 Ty-53

Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d

BACHER M

(mm-ss):09-04 &

PAGE 19/27 * RCVD AT 3/11/2004 11:45:51 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS: 8729306 * CSID:604 922 2957 * DURATION (mm-ss):09-04

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application NoPCT/CA 02/00022

I. Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in the claims meets the criteria mentioned in Article 33 (1) PCT, i.e. it appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step and to be industrially applicable.

, PCT /
NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION
(PCT Rule 44.1) Fle (D)
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 28/05/2002 COULD
FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International filing date (day/month/year) 11/01/2002
Report has been established and is transmitted herewith. s of the International Application (see Rule 46): lly 2 months from the date of transmittal of the tails, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Inpanying sheet. Report will be established and that the declaration under
transmitted to the International Bureau together with the est and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
cant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase demand or in a later election within 19 months from the process.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the International phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

Whon?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendment, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled:
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed.
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims): "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the latter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference B I 076702	ACTION	of Transmittal of International Search Report (20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/CA 02/00022	11/01/2002	12/01/2001
BIOZYME SYSTEMS INC.		
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being	en prepared by this International Searching Auth ransmitted to the International Bureau.	ority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consist X It is also accompanied b	s of a total of sheets. y a copy of each prior art document cited in this i	report.
Basis of the report		
	hinternational search was carried out on the basi dess otherwise indicated under this Item.	
	vas carried out on the basis of a translation of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 With regard to any nucleotide are was carried out on the basis of the 	nd/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the inte	emational application, the international search
	e sequence listing : onal application in written form.	The internal search
	ernational application in computer readable form.	
	this Authority in written form.	
	this Authority in computer readble form.	
the statement that the suit	sequently furnished written sequence listing doe s filed has been furnished.	s not go beyond the disclosure in the
the statement that the info furnished	ormation recorded in computer readable form is l	dentical to the written sequence listing has been
Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box I).	
Unity of invention is laci		•
With regard to the title,		
the text is approved as sui		
the text has been establish	ed by this Authority to read as follows:	
With regard to the abstract,		
X the text is approved as sub	mitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establish	ed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority a date of mailing of this international search report,	s it appears in Box III. The applicant may,
The figure of the drawings to be publis	hed with the abstract is Figure No.	Sabring comments to this Authority.
as suggested by the application	ant.	
	d to suggest a figure.	None of the figures.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/CA 02/00022

IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER A23K1/165 A23K1/00 A2			
	AZSKI7 103 AZSKI7 00 AZ	3K1/18	A23K1/10	
-		al classification and	IPC	
IPC 7	Cumentation searched (classification system to bowed by A23K	classification symbol	ls)	
Documentat	on searched other than minimum documentation to the ex	deni that such docum	nents are included in the fields	searched
Electronic da	ita base consulted during the international search (name	of data base and. w	here practical, search terms us	ord)
C. DOCUME	NTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category a		of the relevant pass	ages	Relevant to claim No.
	31 October 2000 (2000-10-31)		AL)	1-10,15
	(F1); APAJALAHII JUHA (FI);	LAURAFIIS MA	NNE A)	1,7,8,15
	DAVID J (CA); SPENCE JOHN A 13 August 1998 (1998-08-13) cited in the application	(CA); AĹOIS	(BY 5)	1
		-/		
<u> </u>		Х Ра	tent family members are listed	in annex.
document considere earlier document which is contained occurrent other mea document plater than	defining the general state of the art which is not do be of particular relevance urner but published on or after the international which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or illed to establish the publication date of another other special reason (as specified) referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or as outlished prior to the international filing date but the priority date claimed	"X" documer cannot involve "Y" documer cannot involve "Y" documer cannot documer ments, in the a	ity date and not in conflict with ounderstand the principle or the on interest of the considered novel or cannot an inventive step when the doo not of particular relevance; the close be considered to involve an inventies combined with one or mo- such combined with one or mo- such combination being obviount.	the application but sory underlying the laimed invention be considered to current is taken alone saimed invention routive step when the re other such docu-s to a person skilled
			rch report	
'		_	>/ UD/ ZUUZ	
	B. FIELDS Minimum do IPC 7 Documentation PC 7 Documentation Electronic da EPO—Int Enterpresent document of the actument plater than the of the actument of the actument plater than the plater	Minimum documentation searched (classification system toflowed by IPC 7 A23K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the excitectronic data base consulted during the international search (name EPO-Internal, BIOSIS, WPI Data, FSTA EDOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (alegory)* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, 31 October 2000 (2000-10-31) column 2, line 10 - line 36; (FI); APAJALAHTI JUHA (FI); 17 December 1998 (1998-12-17 claims; examples WO 98 34498 A (BIOZYME SYSTE DAVID J (CA); SPENCE JOHN A 13 August 1998 (1998-08-13) cited in the application page 18, line 8 -page 19, line	B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbol IPC 7 A23K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are listed in the continuation of box C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT ENDOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Clation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant pass of	IPC 7 A23K Documentation searched (classification system totkowed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A23K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields classification system of data base and, where practical, search terms us a consolidate during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms us a consolidate during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms us a consolidate during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms us a consolidate of a consolidate of the relevant passages DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages US 6 139 892 A (REINIKAINEN PEKKA ET AL) 31 October 2000 (2000—10—31) column 2, line 10 — line 36; claims W0 98 56260 A (CULTOR CORP; KEROVIUO JANNE (FI); APAJALAHTI JUHA (FI); LAURAEUS MA) 17 December 1998 (1998—12—17) claims; examples W0 98 34498 A (BIOZYME SYSTEMS INC ;SAXBY DAVID J (CA); SPENCE JOHN A (CA); ALOIS) 13 August 1998 (1998—08—13) cited in the application page 18, line 8 —page 19, line 7 ———————————————————————————————————

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/CA 02/00022

C.(Continu	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	PCT/CA 02/00022
Category *		Relevant to claim No.
A	HAN Y W: "REMOVAL OF PHYTIC ACID FROM SOYBEAN AND COTTONSEED MEALS" JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. WASHINGTON, US, vol. 36, no. 6, 1 November 1988 (1988-11-01), pages 1181-1183, XP000023532 ISSN: 0021-8561 the whole document	1-12,15
	F.E. STONE ET AL.: "Autolysis of Phytic Acid and Protein in Canola Meal" J. SCIENCE OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE, vol. 5, no. 5, 1984, page 513-519 XP001076601 cited in the application the whole document	1-15
	R.W. NEWKIRK ET AL.: "In vitro hydrolysis of phytate in canola meal with purified and crude sources of phytase" ANIMAL FEED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, vol. 72, no. 3-4, 1998, pages 315-327, XP001076841 page 323 -page 326	1,7-11
	E-L BERGMAN ET AL.: "Optimal conditions for Phytate Degradation, Estimation of Phytase Activity, and Localization of Phytate in Barley" J. AGRIC. FOOD CHEM., vol. 48, no. 10, 2000, pages 4647-4655, XP001076840 page 4651 -page 4655	1,7-11
		. 1

PAGE 26/27 * RCVD AT 3/11/2004 11:45:51 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:604 922 2957 * DURATION (mm-ss):09-04

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

Patent document		D 141	Ι			
cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 6139892	A	31-10-2000	SE AU EP SE WO	507355 4407197 0930829 9603407 9811788	A A1 A	18-05-1998 14-04-1998 28-07-1999 19-03-1998 26-03-1998
WO 9856260	A	17-12-1998	FI AU WO	972521 7769598 9856260	A	14-12-1998 30-12-1998 17-12-1998
WO 9834498	A	13-08-1998	CA AU BR WO EP JP		A A A1 A1 T	07-08-1998 26-08-1998 31-10-2000 13-08-1998 01-12-1999 07-08-2001 06-10-1999